

Start with \$1.

Invest \$ $x$  in a risky asset today; the value of the asset multiplies by  $\gamma$  overnight. Tomorrow invest a total of \$ $y$  in the risky asset.  $y$  is allowed to depend on  $\gamma$ . The asset multiplies by  $\delta$  the second night, with  $\gamma$  and  $\delta$  i.i.d.

At the interest rate  $r$ , your assets at the end are

$$((1-x) \cdot (1+r) + x \cdot \gamma - y) \cdot (1+r) + y \cdot \delta.$$

Consider the case where  $r=0$  and set  $\alpha = \gamma - 1$ ,  $\beta = \delta - 1$ . Then your increase in assets from period zero to period two is given by the expression

$$x \cdot \alpha + y(\alpha) \cdot \beta. \tag{1}$$

Write  $\mu$  for the mean of  $\alpha$  (and  $\beta$ ) and  $\sigma$  for the variance of  $\alpha$  (and  $\beta$ ).

A *strategy* consists of a number  $x$  (the initial investment) and a function  $y(\alpha)$ .

The goal, for a fixed expected value of (1), is to minimize the variance of (1). Fix the expected value at  $c$ . Then we always have

$$x = \frac{c}{\mu} - \bar{y}. \tag{2}$$

Now the problem is to choose a function  $y(\alpha)$ , such that when  $x$  is determined by (2), the variance of (1) is minimized.

Note that

$$Var(x \cdot \alpha + y \cdot \beta) = Var(x \cdot \alpha) + Var(y \cdot \beta) + 2 \cdot Cov(x \cdot \alpha, y \cdot \beta)$$

and that

$$Cov(x \cdot \alpha, y \cdot \beta) = x \cdot \mu \cdot Cov(\alpha, y)$$

by the independence assumptions, so that

$$Var(x \cdot \alpha + y \cdot \beta) = x^2 \cdot \sigma + \bar{y}^2 \cdot \sigma + \mu^2 \cdot Var(y) + \sigma \cdot Var(y) + 2 \cdot x \cdot \mu \cdot Cov(\alpha, y) \tag{3}$$

where  $x$  continues to be given by expression (2).

Write  $E = \bar{y}$ ,  $V = Var(y)$ , and  $C = Cov(\alpha, y)$ . Then using (2), the right-hand side of (3) becomes

$$\left(\frac{c}{\mu}\right)^2 \sigma - \frac{2c\sigma}{\mu} E + 2\sigma E^2 + (\mu^2 + \sigma)V + 2cC - 2\mu EC. \tag{4}$$

**Experiment 1:** Try adding a constant  $t$  to  $y$ . This replaces  $E$  by  $E + t$  while leaving  $V$  and  $C$  fixed. Insert this information into (4), differentiate with respect to  $t$ , and set  $t = 0$ . The resulting expression must equal zero if  $y$  is optimal. This gives

$$E = \frac{c\sigma + \mu^2 C}{2\sigma\mu}. \tag{5}$$

**Experiment 2:** Try multiplying  $y$  by a constant  $t$ . This replaces  $E$  by  $tE$ ,  $V$  by  $t^2V$  and  $C$  by  $tC$ . Insert into (4), differentiate with respect to  $t$ , set  $t = 1$  and note that the expression must equal zero.

But the expression just described is

$$-\frac{2c\sigma}{\mu} E + 4\sigma E^2 + 2(\mu^2 + \sigma)V + 2cC - 4\mu EC$$

which, after taking account of (5), reduces to

$$2(\mu^2 + \sigma)V.$$

Assuming  $\sigma \neq 0$ , we conclude that  $V = 0$ , so  $y$  is a constant, so  $C = 0$ , so (5) gives

$$y = \frac{c}{2\mu}$$

and (1) gives

$$y = x.$$

So to minimize risk, the total amount invested in period 2 should equal the total amount invested in period 1, independent of the realized return in period 1.

Remaining questions: What if  $r$  not zero? What if you are simultaneously trying to smooth consumption? What if attitudes toward risk depend on wealth and hence on  $\alpha$ ? What happens in equilibrium? If everyone tries to follow this strategy, how does the interest rate behave in RE equilibrium?